Interview Summary	Application N	No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/739,692		NAKAHARA ET AL.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Joseph Nguy	en	2815	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PT	O personnel):			
(1) <u>Joseph Nguyen</u> .	(3) <u>George Eckert</u> .			
(2) <u>Melvin Kraus</u> .	(4)			
Date of Interview: 14 January 2004.				
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☑ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant	2)⊠ applicant	's representative	·]	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.			
Claim(s) discussed: 33.				
Identification of prior art discussed: Kitajima et al.				
Agreement with respect to the claims f)☐ was reached.	g)⊠ was not r	eached. h)□ N	I/A.	
Substance of Interview including description of the gene reached, or any other comments: <u>Applicant proposed at ambient air temperature" to claim 33 to overcome Kitajin in response to the last Office Action</u> .	lding "without a c	cooling element"	and "which is hi	gher than
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the ame allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attach	o copy of the am	the examiner ago endments that w	reed would rend rould render the	er the claims claims
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to a GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, C FORM, WICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse	the last Office ac OR THE MAILING OF THE SUBS	tion has already 3 DATE OF THIS TANCE OF THE	been filed, APP S INTERVIEW S	LICANT IS SUMMARY
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.		Examiner's sign	ature, if required	